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Trialkylaluminum and triarylborane compounds are commonly used as activators for generating cationic alkylmetallocenes which are effective olefin polymerization catalysts. ${ }^{1}$ For example, $\left(\mathrm{AlR}_{3}\right)_{x}$ and related compounds function as Lewis acids and can provide alkyl initiating sites in the conversion of complexes such as $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{MCl}_{2}$ to species such as $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{MR}\right]\left[\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{AlCl}\right](\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{Zr}$, Hf ; " $\mathrm{Cp}_{2}$ " $=$ separate or linked cyclopentadienyl ligands with various degrees of alkylation) and the powerful Lewis acid, $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$, has been used to abstract alkyl ligands from $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{MR}_{2}$ complexes to form catalytically active $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{MR}\right]\left[\mathrm{RB}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}\right]$ complexes. ${ }^{1}$ In the past it has been assumed that the $\mathrm{Cp}_{2}$ bis(cyclopentadienyl) ligand sets function as inert spectator ligands which provide solubility, stability, and the proper steric environment for catalysis. We now report that in certain cases the frequently used $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ligand is not inert to triarylborane and trialkylaluminum activators.

This discovery was made in a study of the reactivity of the sterically crowded complexes $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Sm}^{2}$ and $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{U} .{ }^{3}$ These compounds initiate the catalytic polymerization of ethylene to high molecular weight, ${ }^{3}$ and the reaction chemistry of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Sm}^{4}$ suggested that the polymerization could occur through an $\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ intermediate. To provide more information on the existence of an $\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ species, $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Sm}$ was reacted with $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{Me}_{6}$ to determine if a $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ligand could be abstracted like an alkyl ligand to form an $\left[\eta^{1}-\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right) \mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}\right]^{-}$ anion or a $\operatorname{Sm}\left(\mu-\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{AlMe}_{2}$ bridging unit, ${ }^{5}$ respectively.

A reaction does occur between $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Sm}$ and $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ upon mixing in toluene, ${ }^{7}$ but as shown in eq 1 , the isolated products do not retain all of the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ligands intact. Tetramethylfulvalene


[^0](TMF) was identified as one product by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy and the samarium product was identified as $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sm}\right]\left[\eta^{3}\right.$ $\mathrm{HB}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ ], 1, by multinuclear NMR spectroscopy, elemental analysis, ${ }^{7}$ and single-crystal X-ray diffraction ${ }^{8}$ (Figure 1). The samarium atom in $\mathbf{1}$ is ligated by two $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ rings, two fluorine atoms from different arene rings, and the hydride. In contrast, in the related complex $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{ZrH}\right]\left[\eta^{2}-\mathrm{HB}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}\right],{ }^{9}$ no hydride coordination is observed and two fluorine atoms from the same ring are coordinated to zirconium.

Further confirmation of the composition of $\mathbf{1}$ was obtained from the independent synthesis of $\mathbf{1}$ via eq 2 . $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sm}(\mu-\mathrm{H})\right]_{2}{ }^{12}$
$\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sm}(\mu-\mathrm{H})\right]_{2}+2 \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3} \quad \cdots 2\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sm}\right]\left[\eta^{3}-\mathrm{HB}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}\right]$
reacts instantly with $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ in toluene to give a product whose ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum is identical to that of $\mathbf{1} .^{13}$
It is interesting to note that eq 1 is the reverse of the synthesis of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Sm}$ from tetramethylfulvalene and $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sm}(\mu\right.$ $\mathrm{H})]_{2}{ }^{3}$ Equation 1 can be viewed as a Lewis acid assisted $\beta$-hydrogen elimination from a putative $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sm}\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)$ unit which shows no tendency to $\beta$-hydrogen eliminate to give $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sm}(\mu-\mathrm{H})\right]_{2}$. The most closely related reactions in the literature are the internal metalations of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)^{-}$in complexes highly reactive in $\sigma$-bond metathesis. In these cases, formation of a formally dianionic $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)^{2-}$ ligand results. ${ }^{10,14}$
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Sm}$ also reacts with $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{Me}_{6}$. In this case, an $\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ligand is found in the product ${ }^{15}$ but single-crystal X-ray diffraction ${ }^{16}$ shows that it has completely left the coordination
(7) In an argon-filled glovebox, addition of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Sm}(0.150 \mathrm{~g}, 0.270$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ to a solution of $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}(0.138 \mathrm{~g}, 0.270 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene ( 5 mL ) caused an immediate brown to red color change. The solvent and tetramethylfulvalene (identified by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy) were removed by rotary evaporation to afford $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sm}\left[\mu-\mathrm{HB}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}\right]$ as a red powder $\left(0.246 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%\right.$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta-0.63\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} M e_{5}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$ : $\delta 119.7\left(C_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right), 21.38\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta-156.9,-162.4 .{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta-7.30$ (unresolved multiplet). IR ( KBr ): $2914 \mathrm{~m}, 2290 \mathrm{~m}$, $2104 \mathrm{w}, 1644 \mathrm{~m}, 1516 \mathrm{~s}, 1462 \mathrm{~s}, 1377 \mathrm{w}, 1273 \mathrm{~m}, 1100 \mathrm{~s}, 959 \mathrm{~s}, 802 \mathrm{w}, 731$ $\mathrm{w}, 646 \mathrm{w} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Magnetic susceptibility $\chi_{\mathrm{m}(298 \mathrm{~K})}=1.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{cgsu}, \mu_{\mathrm{eff}}=$ $1.6 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{SmC}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~F}_{15}$ B: Sm 16.12; C 48.90; H, 3.32. Found: Sm, 16.20; C, 49.04; H 3.47 .
(8) Compound $\mathbf{1}$ crystallizes from toluene in space group $P \overline{1}$ with $a=$ 14.554(2) $\AA, b=15.765(2) \AA, c=17.066(2) \AA, V=3855.5(7) \AA^{3}$, and $D_{\text {calcd }}=1.688 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ for $Z=4$. Least-squares refinement of the model based on 13593 reflections converged to a final wR2 $=0.0726$. The $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sm}$ part of complex 1 has structural parameters typical of formally nine-coordinate $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sm}$-containing complexes (Evans, W. J.; Foster, S. E. J. Organomet. Chem. 1992, 433, 79-94). Comparison of the 2.45(5) $\AA \mathrm{Sm}-\mathrm{H}$ and the 1.18(5) $\AA \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}$ distance with other data in the literature is complicated by the large error limits involved in these and the other measurements. The $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}$ distance equals 1.06(6) $A$ in the anion of $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{ZrH}\right]\left[\mathrm{HB}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}\right] .{ }^{9} \mathrm{Sm}-\mathrm{H}$ distances are 2.05(11) and 2.11(9) $\AA$ in $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sm}(\mu-\mathrm{H})\left(\mu-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Sm}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2}{ }^{10} 1.80(15)$ and $2.75(15) \AA$ in $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sm}(\mu-\mathrm{H})\right]_{2}$ (Bulychev, B. M.; Gun'ko, Y. K.; Soloveichik, G. L.; Belsky, V. K. J. Organomet. Chem. 1992, 424, 289). $\mathrm{Sm}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{B}$ distances are 2.480(9) and $2.660(9) \mathrm{A}$ in the $\mathrm{Sm}^{2+}$ complex $\left\{\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right] \mathrm{Sm}(\mathrm{DME})_{2}\right\}_{2}$ (Xie, Z.; Liu, Z.; Chiu, K.; Xue, F.; Mak, T. C. W. Organometallics 1997, 16, 2460). $\mathrm{Sm}^{2+}$ is $0.191 \AA$ larger than $\mathrm{Sm}^{3+} .11$ The $2.537(2)$ and $2.546(2) \AA \mathrm{Sm}-\mathrm{F}$ distances have lengths consistent with dative bonds in comparison to other $\mathrm{Sm}-\mathrm{F}$ bond distances: 2.244(6) A in $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sm}(\mu-\mathrm{F})\right]_{3}$ (Schumann, H.; Keitsch, M. R.; Winterfeld, J. Demtschuk, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1996, 525, 279) and 2.302(3) $\AA$ in $\left\{\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{2} \mathrm{Sm}(\mu \text {-F) }\}_{2}\right.$ (Xie, Z.; Liu, Z.; Xue, F.; Mak, T. C. W. J. Organomet. Chem. 1997, 539, 127).
(9) Yang, X.; Stern, C. L.; Marks, T. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1992, 31, 1375. Yang, X.; Stern, C. L.; Marks, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 10015.
(10) Evans, W. J.; Ulibarri, T. A.; Ziller, J. W. Organometallics 1991, 10, 134.
(11) Shannon, R. D. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 1976, A32, 751.
(12) Evans, W. J.; Bloom, I.; Hunter, W. E.; Atwood, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, $105,1401$.
(13) Addition of $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sm}(\mu-\mathrm{H})\right]_{2} 12(0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene ( 5 mL ) to a solution of $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}(0.061 \mathrm{~g}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ in an argon-filled glovebox caused an immediate color change from orange to red. Rotary evaporation of the solvent left a red powder $(0.110 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%)$ whose ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$ was identical to that of $\mathbf{1}$.


Figure 1. Thermal ellipsoid plot of $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sm}\right]\left[\eta^{3}-\mathrm{HB}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}\right]$, 1, with ellipsoids drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. Bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles (deg) include the following: $\mathrm{Sm}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1), 2.45(5) ; \mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1)$, 1.18(5); $\operatorname{Sm}(1)-\mathrm{F}(1), 2.537(2) ; \mathrm{Sm}(1)-\mathrm{F}(6), 2.546(2) ; \mathrm{Sm}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)$ av, $2.69(3) ; \operatorname{Cnt}(1)-\operatorname{Sm}(1)-\operatorname{Cnt}(2), 136.4 ; \mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{F}(1)-\operatorname{Sm}(1)$, 134.0(2); $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{F}(6)-\mathrm{Sm}(1), 117.1(2)$.
sphere of samarium and is attached to only aluminum as shown in eq 3 and Figure 2. The structure of $\mathbf{2}$ is closely related to that
$2\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Sm}+\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{Me}_{6} \cdots\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sm}\left[(\mu-\mathrm{Me})_{2} \mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Me}\right]_{2} \mathrm{Sm}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2}$ (3) 2
of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sm}\left[(\mu-\mathrm{Me})_{2} \mathrm{AlMe}_{2}\right]_{2} \mathrm{Sm}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2}, \mathbf{5},{ }^{6}$ except that an $\eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ligand is attached to each Al in place of a methyl group in 5. Equation 3 parallels the formation of $\mathbf{5}$ from $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2}{ }^{-}$ SmMe (THF) and $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{Me}_{6} .{ }^{6}$ Since the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ligands bound to aluminum in the structure of $\mathbf{2}$ remain on the same side of the
SmCAlCSmCAlC ring, two different $\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ environments are present. This arrangement is preserved in solution to at least -60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : two singlets are found for these rings. Another singlet is observed for the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ring attached to Al which is consistent with earlier observations on the fluxional hapticity of cyclopentadienyl groups bound to aluminum. ${ }^{17}$ No evidence was found

[^1]

Figure 2. Ball-and-stick plot of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sm}\left[(\mu-\mathrm{Me})_{2} \mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Me}\right]_{2}-$ $\mathrm{Sm}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2}, 2$.
for a monomer-dimer equilibrium as was observed for 5 and related species. ${ }^{18}$

The reactions reported here show that the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ligand is not necessarily inert in the presence of Lewis acids commonly used as activators in olefin polymerization systems. The conversion in eq 1 of $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ to $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}$, a potential initiating site for olefin polymerization, ${ }^{19}$ and the abstraction of $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ in eq 3 to form a bridged complex of the cationic $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Sm}\right]^{+}$moiety both lead to units which can be effective in polymerization.

Although these examples were observed with $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Sm}$, which has previously been shown to have unusual chemistry, ${ }^{4}$ they show that under the proper conditions, the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ligand can react with Lewis acids. Since the special chemistry of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Sm}$ appears to arise from the steric crowding of this ligand set, similar steric crowding (and reactivity) could be available via other ligand sets.
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    (16) Compound 2 crystallizes from toluene in space group Cmca with $a=$ 24.590(3) A, $b=15.832(4) \mathrm{A}, c=32.648$ (4) A, $V=12709(4) \mathrm{A}^{3}$, and $D_{\text {calcd }}=1.313 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ for $Z=8$. The quality of the data were sufficient to establish atomic connectivity but not to report detailed bond distances and angles.

